

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/603,815	06/26/2003	Francois Cottard	239098US0	2794	
. 22850 75	22850 7590 06/09/2005			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			ELHILO, EISA B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751		
	10/603,815 22850 75 OBLON, SPIN 1940 DUKE ST	10/603,815 06/26/2003 22850 7590 06/09/2005	10/603,815 06/26/2003 Francois Cottard  22850 7590 06/09/2005  OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET	10/603,815       06/26/2003       Francois Cottard       239098US0         22850       7590       06/09/2005       EXAM         OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.       ELHILO,         1940 DUKE STREET       ART UNIT         ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314       ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/603,815	COTTARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INO DATE of this accomplisation	Eisa B. Elhilo	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition of the practice o</li></ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.  ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/4,3/18/4&5/18/5	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 1-30 are pending in this application.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 8-11, 13-22, 25-27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Casperson et al. (US 5,376,146).

Casperson et al. (US' 146) teaches a composition comprising oxidation dyes (see col. 5, line 33), alkalizing agent of sodium silicate and alkanolamine of ethanolamine as claimed in claims 1-4 (see col. 5, lines 12-29), wherein the alkanolamine is presented in the amount of 5% which is within the claimed range as claimed in claims 8-10 (see col. 11, Examples 1-40, composition No. 5), wherein the pH of the composition is in the range of 7 to 11 which falls within the claimed range as claimed in claim 11 (see col. 5, line 10), wherein the oxidation dye is selected from oxidation bases of paraphenylenediamines as claimed in claims 13-15 (see col. 5, lines 40-41) and couplers of 1,3-phenylenediamines (meta-phenylenediamines) as claimed in claims 16 and 20 (see col. 7, lines 28-29), wherein the oxidation bases and couplers are employed in the amounts of .0005% to about 5% which are fall within the claimed ranges as claimed in claims 19 and 21 (see col. col. 8, lines 30-34), wherein the addition acid salts are sulfates and hydrochlorides as claimed in claims 17-18 (see col. 6, lines 25-26), wherein the composition comprises organic solvents as claimed in claim 22 (see col. 9, line 25), wherein the

Art Unit: 1751

composition further comprises hydrogen peroxide as claimed in claims 25-26 (see col. 9, lines 52-53). Casperson et al. Also teaches a method for dyeing hair comprising applying to the hair the dyeing composition as described above and wherein the reference's method is identical to the claimed method as claimed in claims 27 and 30 (see col. 10, lines 50-66). Casperson et al. teaches all the limitations of the instant claims. Hence, Casperson et al. anticipates the claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7, 12, 23 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casperson et al. (US 5,376,146).

Casperson et al. (US' 146) teaches a composition comprising oxidation dyes (see col. 5, line 33), alkalizing agents of sodium silicate and alkanolamine of ethanolamine (see col. 5, lines 12-29), wherein the alkalizing agents are presented in the amounts of 0.01 to 5% (see col. 5, lines 30-31), wherein the pH of the composition is in the range of 7 to 11 which is overlapped with claimed range as claimed in claim 12 (see col. 5, line 10), wherein the composition comprises organic solvents in the amounts of 0% to 5% which is overlapped with the claimed range as claimed in claim 23 (see col. 9, line 25), wherein the composition further comprises hydrogen peroxide as claimed in claims 25-26 (see col. 9, lines 52-53). Casperson et al. (US' 146) also teaches a method for dyeing hair comprising applying to the hair the dyeing composition as

Application/Control Number: 10/603,815

Art Unit: 1751

described above and wherein the composition is remained for a period of time after which the composition is washed from the hair as claimed in claims 28-29 (see col. 10, lines 50-66).

The instant claims differ from the reference by optimizing the amount of alkalizing agent metalsilicate in the composition.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the amount of the alkalizing agent of the metalsilicate in the composition so as to get the maximum effective amount because Casperson et al. (US' 146) clearly teaches that a mixture of alkalizing agents includes sodium silicate can be used in the dyeing composition and wherein these alkalizing agents are presented in the amount of 0.1 to 5% (see col. 5, lines 12-32), and, thus, the person of ordinary skill in the art would expect such composition to have the same properties as those claimed, absent unexpected results.

3 Claims 1, 3-4, 11, 13-16, 19-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dias et al. (US 6,004,355).

Dias et al. (US' 355) teaches a hair dyeing composition comprising oxidation dyes of para-phenylenediamines in the amount of 0.24% which is within the claimed range as claimed in claims 1, 13-15 and 19 (see col. 32, Example I), buffering (alkalizing) agent of monoethanolamine as claimed in claims 3-4 (see col.22, line 27), magnesium silicate (see col. 31, lines 3-4), couplers of m-aminophenols in the amount of 0.06% which within the claimed range as claimed in claims 16, 20-21 (see col. 32, Example I), organic solvents as claimed in claim 22 (see col. 25, lines 10-21), cationic polymers in the amount of 0.05 to 2% and nonionic surfactants in the amount of 1.5 wherein the amounts of the polymers and nonionic surfactants are within the claimed ranges as claimed in claim 24 (see col.30, lines 55-56, col. 31, line 22 and

Art Unit: 1751

col. 32, Example I), wherein the composition also comprises oxidizing agent of hydrogen peroxide as claimed in claims 25-26 (see col. 22, lines 56-58), wherein the composition has a pH in the range of 7 to 10.5 which is within the claimed range as claimed in claim 11 (see col. 21, line 67). Dias et al. Teaches all the limitations of the instant claims. Hence, Dias et al. (US' 355) anticipates the claims.

### Conclusion

The references listed on from 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/603,815

Art Unit: 1751

Eisa Elhilo Patent Examiner Art Unit 1751

Page 6

June 4, 2005